DB USA Corporation

U.S. NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO DISCLOSURES

For the period ended June 28, 2024

Table of Contents

| Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) | 3 |
|---|--------|
| U.S. Disclosure Requirements | |
| U.S. Qualitative Disclosures | 4 |
| Main drivers of NSFRChanges in NSFR | 4 |
| Changes in ASF | 6 |
| Composition of eligible HQLAChanges in RSF | 6 |
| Liquidity ManagementLiquidity Risk Management FrameworkLiquidity Stress Testing | 7 7 |
| Liquidity Stress Testing Long-Term Funding Analysis | 7 ε |
| Table 6: U.S. Quantitative NSFR Disclosures | |

Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR)

The NSFR is intended to promote the resilience of banks by requiring them to fund their activities with stable, longer-term funding sources rather than relying heavily on short-term funding, which is prone to increased withdrawals in times of liquidity stress. By encouraging banks to maintain a more balanced and sustainable funding structure, the NSFR seeks to enhance the overall stability and safety of the banking system. The ratio is defined as the amount of Available Stable Funding (ASF) divided by the amount of Required Stable Funding (RSF). ASF includes funding sources that are considered less sensitive to changes in market conditions and are less likely to be withdrawn or reduced quickly, even in times of financial turbulence. These funding sources often have a defined medium- or long-term tenor. Examples of funding sources that contribute to ASF include retail deposits from individuals, long-term wholesale funding and equity capital. As set forth in the regulation, funding type, tenor and counterparty classification are the main considerations in determining the weighted value of funding sources. RSF represents the amount of stable funding that a bank is required to maintain to supports its balance sheet assets and its off-balance sheet exposures. Assets or exposures that are perceived to be less liquid or more susceptible to funding risk would require a higher amount of stable funding and, therefore, will be assigned a higher percentage weighting in determining the RSF amount. Conversely, assets and / or exposures that are more liquid and more easily funded or converted to cash would require a lower amount of stable funding and receive a lower percentage weighting for determining RSF. As set forth in the regulation, asset tenor, encumbrance, counterparty classification, credit quality and market characteristics are the main factors considered in determining the weighted amount required to fund as asset or exposure. A bank is required to maintain an NSFR of 100% or higher, meaning that its ASF is higher than its RSF. If a bank's NSFR falls below the regulatory minimum, it may be required to take corrective actions to improve its funding profile and overall stability.

Deutsche Bank (DB), a banking group domiciled in Germany¹, is currently required to be compliant with the NSFR as outlined in the "Capital Requirements Regulations II (CRR II)" which was published in the European Union (EU) Official Journal on June 7, 2019. CRR II was entered into force on June 27, 2019, and became a binding minimum regulatory metric two years later on June 27, 2021.

The history of the NSFR requirement for Foreign Banking Operations (FBOs) in the United States can be traced to the aftermath of the global financial crisis of 2007-2008. The crisis exposed vulnerabilities in the global financial system, particularly around liquidity risk management practices of banks. To address these concerns and strengthen the regulatory framework, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision developed Basel III, a comprehensive set of reforms aimed at enhancing the resilience of banks and the global banking system. The NSFR was introduced alongside the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR). While the LCR focuses and short-term liquidity, the NSFR is designed to measure the longer-term stability of the bank's funding sources.

In the United States, the NSFR requirement was adopted by the Federal Reserve as part of its implementation of the Basel III framework. The Enhanced Prudential Standards for FBOs required FBOs, including DB, with non-branch assets of \$50 billion or more to form a U.S. Intermediate Holding Company (IHC) by July 01, 2016, to serve as the top-tier holding company for their non-branch U.S. subsidiaries. DB's U.S. IHC, namely DB USA Corporation (the Firm), became subject to the full NSFR requirements effective July 1, 2021. Further to that, the Federal Reserve

-

¹ Deutsche Bank (DB) AG is a financial conglomerate as designated by the BaFin

expanded the scope of the FR2052a Report to include products and data required for calculating the NSFR. The expanded FR2052a, or "6G," went live for qualifying FBOs operating in the U.S. on October 3, 2022. DB USA Corporation employs the FR2052a and the entirety of the prescribed rules in Appendix VIII of the Instructions to calculate NSFR daily.

Subsequently to the Enhanced Prudential Standards, the Federal Reserve adopted the Tailoring Rule that introduced risk-based categories for determining the scope, nature, and applicability of requirements under the Federal Reserve's Liquidity Risk Management Standards, which resulted in a modification of the NSFR requirements based on the category of the banking organizations. Under the Tailoring Rule, the stringency of requirements increases based on measures of size, cross-jurisdictional activity, weighted short-term wholesale funding, nonbank assets, and off-balance sheet exposures, with Category I banks required to adhere to the most stringent standards. Based on the Tailoring Rule guidelines, which became effective December 31, 2019, the Firm is categorized as a Category III bank and therefore is currently required to adhere to an effectively reduced NSFR minimum requirement of 85% by virtue of applying an 85% factor to its RSF denominator.

U.S. Disclosure Requirements

As part of the NSFR Final Rule issued October 20, 2020, with an effective date of July 1, 2021, the Federal Reserve implemented public disclosure requirements (PDR) for the NSFR. Under PDR, a BHC with \$50 billion or more in consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in foreign exposure is required to disclose publicly, on a semi-annual basis, quantitative information about its average NSFR calculation for the prior two quarters and to discuss the factors that have significantly impacted its NSFR. Presently, the Firm is the only DB U.S. entity that is subject to these disclosure requirements.

The information presented in this document is calculated in accordance with the U.S. NSFR Rule, which was subsequently renamed the Liquidity Risk Management Standards for NSFR, and presented in accordance with the NSFR PDR, unless otherwise stated. Table 6 presents the Firm's NSFR in the format provided in the NSFR PDR. Tables 1 through 5 present a supplemental breakdown of the Firm's NSFR components.

U.S. Qualitative Disclosures

Main drivers of NSFR

The table below summarizes the Firm's average weighted NSFR for the three months ended March 29, 2024 (1Q 2024) and the three months ended June 28, 2024 (2Q 2024)

Table 1: Net Stable Funding Ratio

| Average Weighted Amounts | <u>1Q 2024</u> | 2Q 2024 |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | | |
| ASF ¹ | 28,072 | 29,274 |
| RSF ² | 19,745 | 20,454 |
| NSFR | 142% | 143% |
| Excess ASF ¹ | 8,327 | 8,820 |

- (1) Excludes excess ASF held at subsidiaries that is not transferable.
- (2) After application of the 85% factor under the Tailoring Rule. Before application of the 85% factor, total average RSF for 1Q 2024 was 23,229 and for 2Q 2024 was 24,063.

(3) Unadjusted for the 85% factor under the Tailoring Rule, the 1Q 2024 NSFR was 120%. For 2Q 2024 NSFR was 122%.

In the table above, the Firm calculates its ASF and RSF amounts by applying the standardized set of regulatory weightings to various asset and liability balances, including off-balance-sheet exposures, as prescribed in the NSFR rule.

The firm's average daily NSFR for the three months ended March 29, 2024 (1Q 2024) was 142%, and for the three months ended June 28, 2024 (2Q 2024) was 143%. The firm's NSFR is primarily driven by:

- ASF is comprised primarily of the firm's equity capital, long-term intercompany borrowings and wholesale funding, primarily operational deposits.
- RSF is comprised primarily of loans and other assets. The main components of the Firm's other assets are receivables from other DB Group entities and deferred tax assets.

Changes in NSFR

The Firm's average NSFR increased by 1 percentage point between 1Q 2024 and 2Q 2024, primarily driven by a \$1.2 billion increase in ASF, pertaining to a \$1.1 billion increase in average wholesale funding and a \$0.1 increase in required regulatory capital (see Tables 2 and 3 below). There was also a \$0.83 billion increase in RSF, pre-application of the 85% factor for the Tailoring Rule, from an increase in loans and securities (see Tables 4 and 5 below).

Table 2: Available Stable Funding (ASF)

| Average Weighted Amounts | <u>1Q 2024</u> | 2Q 2024 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | , | |
| Capital | 13,227 | 13,318 |
| Retail Funding | 561 | 568 |
| Wholesale Funding | 14,285 | 15,393 |
| Other Liabilities | 0 | 0 |
| Less: ASF trapped at subsidiaries | 0 | 5 |
| Total Available Stable Funding | 28,072 | 29,274 |

Table 3: ASF, of which Retail and Wholesale Funding

| Average Weighted Amounts (\$ in millions) | <u>1Q 2024</u> | <u>2Q 2024</u> |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Retail Funding: | 561 | 568 |
| Stable Deposits | 46 | 46 |
| Less Stable Deposits | 515 | 521 |
| Brokered Deposits and Sweeps | 0 | 0 |
| Other Retail Funding | 0 | 0 |
| Wholesale Funding: | 14,285 | 15,393 |
| Operational Deposits | 5,891 | 6,244 |
| Other Wholesale Funding ¹ | 8,394 | 9,148 |
| Total Retail and Wholesale Funding | 14,846 | 15,961 |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily comprised of long-term funding from Deutsche Bank related parties.

Changes in ASF

Average ASF increased from 1Q 2024 to 2Q 2024 by \$1.2 billion, which was primarily driven by an increase in wholesale funding of \$1.1 billion and an increase in required regulatory capital of \$0.1 billion. This included an increase in other wholesale funding of \$0.75 billion and an increase in operational deposits of \$0.35 billion.

Table 4: Required Stable Funding (RSF)

| Average Weighted Amounts | 1Q 2024 | 2Q 2024 |
|--|---------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | · | |
| Total High-quality Liquid Assets (HQLA) | 61 | 189 |
| 0% RSF Assets that are not HQLA | 0 | 0 |
| Operation deposits places at financial sector entities | 405 | 433 |
| Loans and securities | 15,398 | 16,278 |
| Other assets | 6,968 | 6,756 |
| Undrawn commitments | 398 | 407 |
| Total Required Stable Funding | 23,229 | 24,063 |
| 85% RSF per Tailoring Rule | 19,745 | 20,454 |

Table 5: RSF, of which Loans and Securities:

| Average Weighted Amounts | 1Q 2024 | 2Q 2024 |
|--|---------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | | |
| Loans to financial sector entities secured by level 1 | | |
| liquid assets | 198 | 354 |
| Loans to financial sector entities secured by assets | | |
| other than level 1 or unsecured | 4,857 | 4,745 |
| Loans to wholesale non-financial sector counterparties | | |
| and retail customers | 8,422 | 9,447 |
| Retail mortgages | 1,323 | 1,158 |
| Non-HQLA securities | 598 | 574 |
| Total Loans and Securities | 15,398 | 16,278 |

Composition of eligible HQLA

HQLA represent the sum of eligible Level 1 liquid assets, Level 2A liquid assets, and Level 2B liquid assets, eligible for inclusion in the NSFR based on the specific operational and general requirements, as prescribed under the LRM Standards for NSFR. Certain components of HQLA are included for consideration of RSF under NSFR but are excluded for the LCR numerator. These include restricted reserve balances at central banks and certain encumbered securities positions.

Changes in RSF

Prior to the application of the 85% factor per the Tailoring Rule, average RSF increased \$0.83 billion from 1Q 2024 to 2Q 2024. This was mainly driven by a \$880 million increase in loans and

securities, offset by a \$212 million reduction in other assets. Most of the increase in loans was to retail customers and non-financial sector counterparties.

Liquidity Management

Liquidity risk is the risk arising from the potential inability to meet all payment obligations when they come due. The Americas Liquidity Management (LM) function of the Firm is responsible for ensuring that the Firm can fulfill its payment obligations at all times and can manage liquidity and funding risks within its risk appetite. The framework considers relevant drivers of liquidity risk, whether on-balance sheet or off-balance sheet.

To meet the stated objectives, the Firm executes upon its liquidity risk management framework. The framework is composed of six work streams – risk appetite & supporting metrics, risk identification, risk measurement, risk reporting & monitoring, risk management, and governance and oversight. These six work streams of the liquidity management framework provide LM the processes, tools, and oversight to effectively manage the liquidity position of the Firm to meet its day-to-day payment obligations.

Treasury manages its funding and liquidity risk through the implementation of risk appetite limits, legal entity thresholds and early warning indicators. In addition, Treasury works closely with Liquidity Risk Management (LRM), and the business, to identify the relevant inherent liquidity risks and look to ensure that they are measured and managed through the liquidity risk management framework. These parties are continuously engaged to understand changes in the Firm's liquidity risk position arising from business activities and market conditions.

Liquidity Risk Management Framework

LRM is an independent oversight function operating as part of the second line of defense within the context of liquidity risk and is responsible for overseeing and evaluating the effectiveness of the liquidity management activities performed by Treasury and the lines of business. LRM directly supports the Americas Chief Risk Officer in overseeing the liquidity risk management framework for the Americas region.

Treasury is responsible for proactive management of liquidity risks within the Firm. At least annually, LRM reviews and evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of DB's liquidity risk management practices.

As part of ongoing monitoring of liquidity risk, LRM reviews liquidity metrics such as the Internal Liquidity Stress Test results, LCR, NSFR, and the Firm's aggregate liquidity buffer. Commentary is provided, to Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM"), as part of the Weekly Risk Report that is sent to members of the DB USA Risk Committee.

Liquidity Stress Testing

Within the risk measurement work stream of the liquidity management framework, liquidity stress testing is a core tool for measuring liquidity risk and evaluating the Firm's liquidity position. The Firm uses both regulatory metrics, such as LCR and NSFR, and internal metrics, such as liquidity stress tests and the Long-term Funding Analysis (LTFA) to respectively monitor short-term and long-term liquidity. The liquidity stress test is used to quantify the Firm's liquidity position over a time horizon up to one (1) year, measure and analyze expected cash inflows and outflows in stress, determine whether the current and future stressed net liquidity position is in line with the

relevant risk appetite, set the liquidity buffer requirements and efficiently manage the liquidity position of the Firm.

The Internal Liquidity Stress Test measures the net liquidity position of the Firm under different scenarios by applying validated liquidity risk assumptions to the Firm's assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items, which are identified to have liquidity risk. The Internal Liquidity Stress Test is run daily and is produced for a 12-month forward looking time horizon.

Long-Term Funding Analysis

As part of its long-term liquidity management, DB employs a long-term funding analysis (LTFA) which was designed as a rigorous approach to assess the adequacy of long-term funding and is complementary to and symmetrical with the Internal Liquidity Stress Test. The LTFA quantitatively sizes the amount of long-term funding required based on the liquidity characteristics/ funding requirements of the assets. For DB USA, this analysis is updated monthly. DB USA consistently maintains a surplus LTFA position.

Table 6: U.S. Quantitative NSFR Disclosures

The following tables present the Firm's average NSFR, and the average unweighted and weighted amounts across the prescribed tenor bucketing for 1Q 2024 and 2Q 2024:

| | \$ in millions | | Unwe | ighted and weighted | averages for quarter end | ed March 29, 2024 (10 | (2024) | |
|----------|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| ASF I | TENA | Unspecified Maturity | Open Maturity | < 6 Months | 6 months to < 1 year | >= 1 year | Perpetual | Weighted Amount |
| 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,225 | 13,227 |
| | NSFR regulatory capital elements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,225 | 13,227 |
| 3 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 0 | 606 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 561 |
| 5 | Stable Deposits | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 6 | Less stable deposits | 0 | 558 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 515 |
| | Sweep deposits, brokered reciprocal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | deposits, and brokered deposits | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Other retail funding | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | Wholesale funding: | 0 | 31,408 | 64,682 | 3,528 | 5,542 | 0 | 14,285 |
| | Operational deposits Other wholesale funding | 0 | 11,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,891 |
| 11 | Other liabilities ^{1, 2} | 0 63 | 19,627 57 | 64,682 1,698 | 3,528 151 | 5,542 3,119 | 0 | 8,394 0 |
| - 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | NSFR derivatives liability amount Total derivatives liability amount | 16 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | All other liabilities not included in the | | | 0 | 0 | U | | 0 |
| 14 | above categories | 0 | 57 | 1,698 | 151 | 3,119 | 0 | 0 |
| <u> </u> | ASF trapped at subsidiaries | | | | 1 | | | 0 |
| 15 | TOTAL ASF | | | | | | | 28,072 |
| RSF I | | 1 | | | | | | -5,072 |
| 16 | Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA) | 0 | 30,504 | 3,039 | 558 | 22,203 | 0 | 61 |
| 16 | | 0 | 30,489 | 3,039 | 558 | 22,202 | 0 | 58 |
| 18 | | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 19 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Zero percent RSF assets that are not | | | | | | | |
| 20 | level 1 liquid assets Operational deposits placed at | 0 | 45 | 6 | 3 | 161 | 0 | 0 |
| | financial sector entities or their | 0 | 809 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 |
| 21 | consolidated subsidiaries | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Loans and securities: | 0 | 23,499 | 49,077 | 2,507 | 10,912 | 0 | 15,398 |
| 22 | Loans to financial sector entities secured by level 1 liquid assets | 0 | 14,192 | 42,543 | 824 | 0 | 0 | 198 |
| | Loans to financial sector entities secured by assets other than level 1 liquid assets and unsecured loans to financial sector entities | 0 | 4,964 | 3,829 | 688 | 3,141 | 0 | 4,857 |
| 25 | | 0 | 4,320 | 2,103 | 993 | 5,547 | 0 | 8,422 |
| 26 | Of which: With a risk weight no greater than 20 percent under [AGENCY CAPITAL REGULATION] | 0 | 279 | 163 | 546 | 30 | 0 | 602 |
| | Retail mortgages | 0 | 6 | 602 | 2 | 1,534 | 0 | 1,323 |
| | Of which: With a risk weight no greater than 50 percent under [AGENCY CAPITAL REGULATION] | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,414 | 0 | 919 |
| 20 | Securities that do not qualify as HQLA | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 690 | 0 | 599 |
| 29 | | 925 | 25 | 32 | 1 | 6 206 | 0 | 6,968 |
| 20 | Other assets: ² Commodities | 925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,286 | 0 | 6,968 |
| | Assets provided as initial margin for derivative transactions and contributions to CCPs' mutualized loss- | 704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 598 |
| 31 | sharing arrangements NSFR derivatives asset amount ¹ | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 33 | Total derivatives asset amount | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| - 33 | RSF for potential derivatives portfolio | 114 | U | U | J | U | 1 | 01 |
| 34 | valuation changes | 192 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 35 | All other assets not included in the above categories, including nonperforming assets | 0 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 6,286 | 0 | 6,331 |
| 36 | Undrawn commitments | 0 | 6,019 | 1,939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| 37 | | 1 | | | | | | 23,229 |
| | percentage TOTAL adjusted RSF | - | | | | | | 85% 19,745 |
| | NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO 1. NSFR regulatory capital elements are based on mo | | | | | | | 142% |

NSFR regulatory capital elements are based on monthly ledger balances.
 Components of "Other Assets" and "Other Liabilities" are updated monthly based on month end ledger balances.

| | millions |
|--|----------|
| | |
| | |

Unweighted and weighted averages for quarter ended June 28, 2024 (2Q 2024)

| Section Comparison Compar | | | Unspecified Maturity | Open Maturity | < 6 Months | 6 months to < 1 year | >= 1 year | Perpetual | Weighted Amount |
|--|-------|--|----------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 2 | ASF I | TEM | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | Capital and Securities:1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,318 | 13,318 |
| 3 Other cepts and protection and according to 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 318 | 13 318 |
| A | | | | | | | | | |
| S belief Depoils 0 49 0 0 0 0 45 Jewer gelpapous, bookered represent 0 547 227 5 1 0 321 Jewer gell proposit, bookered represent 0 1 2,838 0 0 0 1,838 1 0 0 0 1,838 1 0 0 0 0 1,438 1 0 0 0 0 1,438 1 0 0 0 0 0 1,438 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,448 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,448 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Book part | | | | | | | | | |
| Severe deposits, brokered reposited 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 obsprots, and brothered depotits 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 | | U | 547 | 2/ | 5 | | U | 521 |
| B Observe leaf funding | _ | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 Molecular funding | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 Other windowside Funding 0 39,855 85,74 3,377 6,026 0 9,148 | | | 0 | 32,121 | 68,713 | 3,377 | 6,026 | | 15,393 |
| Other Injustifies* 9 55 1.554 227 3.322 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 0 | 12,484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,244 |
| 12 NSF defervatives liability aroment | 11 | Other wholesale funding | 0 | 19,635 | 68,714 | 3,377 | 6,026 | 0 | 9,148 |
| 12 NSF defervatives liability aroment | | Other liabilities 1, 2 | 9 | 55 | 1,554 | 227 | 3,232 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 Total derivatives (labellity amount 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 12 | | 0 | | | 0 | | | |
| All other Habilities not included in the S SS 1,554 227 3,232 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 Above Cottegories 5 50 1,1054 227 3,227 0 0 0 | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| ASF trapped at ubuildairies 5 29.21% | 1.1 | | 5 | 55 | 1,554 | 227 | 3,232 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 TOTALASE | 14 | | | | | 1 | | | _ |
| SEF ITEM | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | | | | | | | 29,274 |
| 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | RSF I | TEM | | | | | | | |
| 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | Total high-quality liquid accets (HOLA) | 0 | 34 305 | 2 627 | 567 | 21 717 | 0 | 190 |
| 12 revel liquid assets 0 34,386 2,627 567 21,715 0 187 15 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 17 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 18 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 18 revel 28 riquid assets 0 0 0 0 0 19 revel liquid assets 0 21 4 2 167 0 0 10 revel liquid assets 0 24,215 49,001 3,253 11,426 0 16,278 10 revel 19 riquid assets 0 24,215 49,001 3,253 11,426 0 16,278 12 revel 19 revel | 16 | rotal riigh-quanty riquid assets (HQLA) | U | 54,395 | 2,027 | 307 | 21,/1/ | U | 189 |
| 18 New 2 All quid assets 0 9 0 0 0 2 0 1 | | Level 1 liquid assets | 0 | 34,386 | 2,627 | 567 | 21,715 | 0 | 187 |
| 10 very 28 liquid assets 0 0 0 0 2 0 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 New Secure | | | | | | | | | |
| Operational deposits placed at financial sector entities or their financial sector entities secured by exists other than level 1 injudi assets and unsecured loors to financial sector entities secured by exists other than level 1 injudi assets and unsecured loors to financial sector entities secured by exists other than level 1 injudi assets and unsecured loors to financial sector entities or their financial sector entities and loans to financial sector entities or their sector entities and loans to retail or their financial sector entities or their sector entities and loans to retail on their sector entities and loans to retail or their sector entities and loans to retail or their sector entities and loans to retail entities and loans to retail entities their sector entities and loans to retail entities their sector entities and loans to retail entities their sector entities e | 20 | | 0 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 167 | 0 | 0 |
| Internal asector entities or their 0 866 0 0 0 0 0 433 | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 Loans and securities: 0 24,215 49,001 3,253 11,426 0 16,278 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | 0 | 866 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 |
| Loans to financial sector entities 0 | 21 | consolidated subsidiaries | | | | | | | |
| 23 Secured by Ievel 1 liquid assets | 22 | Loans and securities: | 0 | 24,215 | 49,001 | 3,253 | 11,426 | 0 | 16,278 |
| 23 Secured by Ievel 1 liquid assets | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 Secured by Ievel 1 liquid assets | | Loans to financial sector entities | 0 | 14.462 | 43.231 | 1.359 | 1 | 0 | 354 |
| Loans to financial sector entities | 23 | | - | , | ,=== | _, | | | |
| Secured by assets other than level 1 0 4,805 3,040 869 3,061 0 4,745 | | | | | | | | | |
| Injurid assets and unsecured loans to 4,800 5,940 869 5,161 0 4,474 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | 0 | 4,805 | 3,040 | 869 | 3,061 | 0 | 4,745 |
| Loans to wholesale customers or counterparties that are not financial sector entities and loans to retail sector entities and loans to r | | l ' | | | | | | | |
| Counterparties that are not financial sector entities and loans to retail sector entities and loans and loans to retail sector entities and loans and lo | 24 | financial sector entities | | | | | | | |
| Sector entities and loans to retail 0 | | Loans to wholesale customers or | | | | | | | |
| Sector entitities and loans to retail Sector entities Sect | | counterparties that are not financial | | 4.022 | 2.540 | 004 | C 4C4 | | 0.447 |
| 25 | | sector entities and loans to retail | U | 4,922 | 2,510 | 994 | 6,161 | 0 | 9,447 |
| Of which: With a risk weight no greater than 20 percent under [AGENCY 0 254 214 922 24 0 715 | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| CAPITAL REGULATION CAPITAL REGULATION | 23 | | | | | | | | |
| APITAL REGULATION | | | | 254 | 244 | 000 | 24 | | 745 |
| 27 Retail mortgages 0 2 220 30 1,544 0 1,158 | | | U | 254 | 214 | 922 | 24 | 0 | /15 |
| Of which: With a risk weight no greater than 50 percent under [AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 1,403 0 912 | | | | | | | | | |
| than 50 percent under [AGENCY O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | 27 | | 0 | 2 | 220 | 30 | 1,544 | 0 | 1,158 |
| 28 CAPITAL REGULATION 0 24 0 0 660 0 574 | | Of which: With a risk weight no greater | | | |] | | | |
| 28 CAPITAL REGULATION 0 24 0 0 660 0 574 | | than 50 percent under [AGENCY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,403 | 0 | 912 |
| 29 Securities that do not qualify as HQLA 0 0 660 0 574 | 28 | | | | |] | | | |
| 29 Securities that do not qualify as HQLA | | · • | | | | | | İ | |
| 29 Securities that do not qualify as HQLA | | | | 24 | _ | | 660 | _ | E74 |
| Other assets: | 20 | Consisting that do not accelete as 1101 to | ٠ | 44 | | " | 000 | | 3/4 |
| 30 Commodities | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| Assets provided as initial margin for derivative transactions and contributions to CCPs' mutualized loss-sharing arrangements NSFR derivatives asset amount 108 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| derivative transactions and contributions to CCPs' mutualized loss- Sample Sam | 30 | Commodities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| derivative transactions and contributions to CCPs' mutualized loss- Sample Sam | _ | Assets provided as initial margin for | | | | | | | |
| Contributions to CCPs' mutualized loss- Staring arrangements 108 | | | | _ | | _ | _ | 1 | |
| Staring arrangements Staring arrangements | | | /56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 643 |
| 32 NSFR derivatives asset amount 108 | 31 | | | | |] | | | |
| 33 Total derivatives asset amount 180 0 0 0 0 0 0 112 RSF for potential derivatives portfolio 232 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 All other assets not included in the above categories, including 0 17 90 1 5,885 0 5,994 36 Undrawn commitments 0 6,019 2,175 0 0 0 407 37 TOTAL RSF Required stable funding adjustment Percentage 85% 39 TOTAL adjusted RSF 20,454 | | | 100 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| RSF for potential derivatives portfolio 232 0 0 0 0 0 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 valuation changes 232 0 0 0 0 12 All other assets not included in the above categories, including a pove categories, inclu | 33 | | 100 | U | U | | U | U | 112 |
| All other assets not included in the above categories, including 0 17 90 1 5,885 0 5,994 | | | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| above categories, including 0 17 90 1 5,885 0 5,994 | 34 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| 35 nonperforming assets 0 6,019 2,175 0 0 0 407 37 TOTAL RSF 24,063 Required stable funding adjustment percentage 85% 39 TOTAL adjusted RSF 20,454 | | | | | |] | | | |
| 36 Undrawn commitments 0 6,019 2,175 0 0 0 407 37 TOTAL RSF 24,063 Required stable funding adjustment 8 24,063 Proceedings 85% 39 TOTAL adjusted RSF 20,454 | | | 0 | 17 | 90 | 1 | 5,885 | 0 | 5,994 |
| 37 TOTAL RSF 24,063 Required stable funding adjustment 85% 20,454 20,4 | 35 | nonperforming assets | | | | | | | |
| 37 TOTAL RSF 24,063 Required stable funding adjustment 85% 20,454 20,4 | | | 0 | 6.019 | 2.175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 407 |
| Required stable funding adjustment percentage 9 TOTAL adjusted RSF Required stable funding adjustment 85% 20,454 | | | | -, -, -, - | -,2,0 | | | | |
| 38 percentage 85% 39 TOTAL adjusted RSF 20,454 | ٠, | | † | | | | | | |
| 39 TOTAL adjusted RSF 20,454 | 20 | | | | | | | | 85% |
| | | | † | | | | | | 20.454 |
| 40 INEL STABLE FUNDING KATIO 143% | | | + | | | | | | |
| 1. NSFR regulatory capital elements are based on monthly ledger balances. | 40 | | <u> </u> | | | | | | 143% |

NSFR regulatory capital elements are based on monthly ledger balances.

 $[\]textbf{2. Components of "Other Assets" and "Other Liabilities" are updated monthly based on month end ledger balances.}\\$